



Weekly Auditing and Compliance Tip



National Alliance of Medical Auditing Specialists | 877-418-5564 | namas.co | namas@namas.co

September 15, 2017

Copy and Paste: The Real Rules Prevail

In my job, I wear many hats. I am an auditor, a physician educator, a consultant, an author, and an auditing instructor. In these roles, I hear a common concern regarding the use of electronic health records (EHRs): that of cloning, or copying and pasting records.

It is important first to consider the rules that exist regarding copying and pasting, as compared to the opinions of many in the healthcare industry.

Have you looked for published guidance on cloning/copying and pasting from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)? There is one published resource that provides rudimentary guidance. Here is an excerpt:

"This practice involves copying and pasting previously recorded information from a prior note into a new note, and it is a problem in healthcare institutions that is not broadly addressed. For example, features like auto-fill and auto-prompts can facilitate and improve provider documentation, but they can also be misused. The medical record must contain documentation showing the differences and the needs of the patient for each visit or encounter. Simply changing the date on the

EHR without reflecting what occurred during the actual visit is not acceptable."



As a NAMAS member, you'll receive access to monthly webinars for CEUs (including those hard to find CPMA CEUs!), a subscription to BC Advantage magazine, discounts on products and NAMAS training events, and much, much more!

We offer 4 membership levels- choose the one that best suits your needs!

[Click Here to View NAMAS Membership Levels and Benefits](#)

For added convenience, NAMAS accepts PayPal, PayPal Credit, quarterly and monthly payments of membership dues.

actual visit is not acceptable.

This reads as a "guideline" as opposed to a rule, leaving us with no true "rules" about this practice, but be it all the same, let's break down what they say - first noting that nowhere does CMS indicate that this practice is not allowed. In fact, they have embraced the process, given its appropriate use.

First, let's define cloning/copying and pasting, as more commonly we find that complaints about it are not copy-and-paste errors at all. Above, CMS indicates that it is the practice of going to previously recorded encounters and literally copying information and pasting it into today's encounter.

Auditors often note this finding when documentation begins to appear the same from visit to visit; however, this may also be the product of incorrect use of EMR templates. Consider this: what portion of documentation is routinely the same in most encounters? In many cases it is the review of systems and the exam. Is this because they are copied from a previous encounter and pasted into a new encounter, or is it because a bunch of "normals" in the EMR were clicked to create the documentation? More typically, it is the latter.

So the next consideration is this: are those templates appropriate, according to CMS-published guidance? Again, refer to the above: *"features like auto-fill and auto-prompts can facilitate and improve provider documentation, but they can also be misused."* CMS does not define what "misused" would entail, and therefore, your organization should have a policy defining it to ensure that the providers have a clear understanding of expectations - and also that your auditors are consistent in their findings and education on this matter.

This should prompt further evaluation of CMS rules and guidance for the use of MACROs and/or templates within the medical record. CMS indicates that the use of both is certainly allowed within documentation. Additionally, there is an additional resource available from CMS, titled the Program Integrity Issues in Electronic Health Records. CMS indicates the following regarding the use of both techniques:

"Some EHR systems use templates that complete forms by checking a box, macros that fill in information by typing a key word, or functions that auto-populate un-entered text. Problems can occur if the structure of the note is not a good



Our **Medical Auditing Bootcamp** will train you to become a medial auditor. Learn the principles of auditing, compliance regulations, and how to perform the daily duties of an auditor.

Our **2-day ONSITE** Medical Auditing Bootcamps are scheduled as follows:

November 16 & 17: Tallahassee, FL
December 4 & 5: Orlando, FL

We also offer this bootcamp as a **5 week LIVE ONLINE Saturday course**. Each class is 3 hours and 15 minutes in length. Our next online course begins September 23, 2017!

Click Here to Learn More & View Our Medical Auditing BootCamp Schedule



Our **2- Day E&M Auditing Bootcamp** is an accelerated auditing training specific to E&M auditing. Learn about audit policies, get clarity on documentation guidelines, medical decision making, medical necessity and more. Plus, during this training program you will have the opportunity **earn our NEW CREDENTIAL - Certified Evaluation and Management Auditor (CEMA)!**

Our 2-Day E&M BootCamps are scheduled as follows:

September 19 & 20: Savannah, GA

October 10 & 11: Phoenix, AZ

November 7 & 8: Cincinnati, OH

November 14 & 15: Salt Lake City, UT

clinical fit and does not accurately reflect the patient's condition and services. These features may encourage over-documentation to meet reimbursement requirements even when services are not medically necessary or never delivered."

Consider these points:

- Does CMS indicate that using MACRO and templates is not allowed or wrong? Absolutely not.
- Does CMS indicate that it will reject documentation that appears to be consistently noted as normal/negative from patient to patient, encounter to encounter, or provider to provider? No, it merely indicates that this can cause problems with the clinical fit of the note and may lead to over-documentation. But is it over-documentation if the provider truly performed those components? Should we suggest that they not include documentation of work they performed? Absolutely not.
- If CMS allows such documentation, then the auditor should as well, but understand that the purpose of an audit is to identify aberrancies and find ways to prevent them in the future.

When evaluating documentation, be sure to have a clear understanding of whether it is truly a copy/paste violation or misuse of MACRO/templates, and if the provider is meeting the necessary guidance of the use of MACROS and/or templates. Since the guidelines are minimal in nature, then, as previously mentioned, your organization should have a better-defined policy on acceptable use of MACROS and templates.

So now let's move forward to the actual portion of the rules governing copying and pasting. Well, you read it same as the rest of us: again, there is no "rule", just guidance. The guidance states that it is a problem and identifies that merely changing the date is correct, but nothing further. Therefore, should we interpret this to indicate that as long as a provider updates more than the date of service, meaning, for example, maybe some of the plan of care (no matter how minimal), we then meet CMS guidance? Well, based on what they have published, if we are updating more than the date of

service and providing even minimal information regarding a new encounter, then the documentation is appropriate.

And more!

Click Here to Learn More & View Our E&M Auditing BootCamp schedule

**Join NAMAS for the 9th Annual Auditing & Compliance Conference
December 2017 in Orlando, FL!**



Conference Information

Pre-Conference: Tuesday, December 5

Conference: Wednesday, December 6 - Friday, December 8

Venue: Loews Sapphire Falls Resort
Orlando, FL

[Click Here to View the Conference Agenda](#)

Learn from the best in the industry, network with your peers and visit with our hand picked group of industry relevant exhibitors all in the gorgeous Caribbean inspired backdrop of the Loews Sapphire Falls Resort

Conference Pricing - Available Through September 30, 2017 Only

NAMAS Member

Conference Only: \$1145

Pre-Conference & Conference: \$1395

Non Member

Conference Only: \$1245

Pre-Conference & Conference: \$1595

Plus, Don't Miss Your Opportunity to Be a Conference VIP!

This year, we are proud to offer a limited number of VIP package add-ons available to all attendees at the nominal rate of \$129. Add on the VIP experience to your conference registration today!

Is there any other published guidance? CMS published some additional instruction within the Program Integrity Issues in Electronic Health Records, which for a time was not actually available on the Internet, as if it had been rescinded. According to this publication, there is minor additional guidance provided in that CMS indicates:

"Healthcare professionals have stated that copying and pasting notes can be appropriate and eliminate the need to create every part of a note and re-interview patients about their medical histories. However, HHS-OIG (the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General) identifies 'illegitimate use of cut and-paste record cloning' as a problem.

Wow! We have finally gotten somewhere. CMS clearly has indicated that there is such a thing as "illegitimate" use of copying and pasting...yet it still does not define what illegitimate use would constitute.

When we think of the term "illegitimate," we tend to think of the birth status of offspring, but there are "official" secondary and additional definitions for this term, which include, according to Merriam-Webster: "(2) not rightly deduced or inferred, (3) departing from the regular, and (4) not sanctioned by law/not authorized by good usage."

Let's put those definitions into the definition CMS has given us:

- *Identifies (the **inferred**) use of cut and-paste record cloning as a problem*
- *Identifies (**documentation verifying from the "normal" i.e., dictation (maybe)**) use of cut-and-paste record cloning as a problem*
- *Identifies (**documentation not of good usage utilized as a source**) of cut-and-paste record cloning as a problem*

Take your pick, but you get the point. While each of us could derive our own opinion or interpretation of "illegitimate use" of cut-and-paste record cloning, the fact is you don't have a solid rule to reference. However (are you tired of hearing me say it yet?), unless you have your own internal policy defining an

illegitimate use of this practice, it's hard to hold providers to any specific standard.

An organization may adopt a policy that is as stringent as this: *"We allow absolutely no copying and pasting of documentation in a medical record "*

As a Conference VIP, you'll receive:

- A VIP registration line for faster check-in
- A VIP branded name badge to wear during conference sessions
- Registration to our EXCLUSIVE VIP Brunch & Session occurring the morning of Wednesday, December 6 before general session begins. This exclusive training will be presented by Frank Cohen
- Admission to our VIP ONLY EVENT - occurring the evening of Thursday, December 7. Enjoy a relaxing evening of entertainment, dancing, food and networking in the Caribbean inspired Sapphire Falls Resort
- Bonus NAMAS Swag
- Additional \$500 in NAMAS Bucks! Use NAMAS Bucks to bid on a variety of prizes available in our exhibit hall

And, as a special added bonus, all VIP attendees will receive a book of printed handouts for all NAMAS pre-conference & conference sessions. As a VIP, there's no need to print handouts before you arrive- Your book will be waiting for you upon your arrival!

There are only a limited number of VIP packages available to be issued on a first come, first served basis. Add on the VIP experience to your conference registration today!

[Register Now](#)



NAMAS 2018 Conference Input Survey

Our annual conference is our biggest event of the year, and we understand that many of you travel a great deal to attend our event. We take a great deal of time and consideration to carefully select

and pasting of documentation in a medical record. Or in the alternative: "We allow the use of copying and pasting within the documentation of a medical encounter, provided the reader can identify new elements specific to that day's encounter with the patient."

Are either of these policies incorrect? Well, based on the vague wording by CMS, no. While I would defer to the likes of a noteworthy health law attorney such as David Glaser for an official opinion on how he would defend such a case in a court of law (as I am not an attorney, but a mere auditor), my guess would be he could absolutely defend this point of view. Could he be on either side of this argument, meaning could he also say, "this COULD be defined as illegitimate use?" ABSOLUTELY, and that is why (again) you must have a policy.

Policies are not meant to create an air of defendability, but rather an air of maintaining a high level of compliance. Therefore, when creating such policies, your organization should consider a "reasonable" strategy, remembering that CMS agrees that copying and pasting is an advantage of electronic documentation, and the use of it is an allowed practice.

Embrace the practice, but have rules regarding abuse.

This Week's Audit Tip **Written By:**

Shannon DeConda, CPC, CPC-I, CEMC, CMSCS, CPMA, CEMA

Shannon is a Partner with our parent organization, DoctorsManagement. Shannon is also the President and Founder of NAMAS



This article was originally published in RACmonitor on September 14, 2017 and is the property of MedLearn Media, Inc.

deal of time and consideration to carefully select our conference locations to appeal to the majority of audience.

We invite you to take a brief survey to provide your feedback regarding locations for our annual conference

Please click the image above to participate
Your feedback is greatly appreciated!

Weekly Tip Sponsor



The MedLearn Media family of brands- [MedLearn Publishing](#), [RACmonitor](#) and [ICD10monitor](#) - work together to help keep you informed of compliance and regulatory updates in the industry.

Learn more about the MedLearn Media Group:



NAMAS 9th Annual Auditing & Compliance Conference Speaker Spotlight



Speaker Spotlight



Upcoming Webinar Sessions



Dr. Evan Gwilliam
Vice President, ChiroCode
and Find-A-Code

During the NAMAS 9th Annual Auditing & Compliance, Dr. Evan Gwilliam will be presenting the following sessions:

- Auditing Physical Therapy Services
- Noteworthy ICD-10 and CPT Updates for 2018 for the Auditor

Each week, we will spotlight a conference speaker and the session(s) he/she will be presenting. Join us for this year's conference December 6-8, 2017 in Orlando, FL at the Loews Sapphire Falls Resort! Click the image above to learn more about conference



Sample what a NAMAS membership can offer you with our FREE 1-month LITE trial membership!

During your trial, you'll receive access to weekly webinars for a chance to earn up to 4CEUs, weekly auditing and compliance tips, and even an online sample of BCAdvantage magazine!

Click the image above to get your 1-month free trial membership today!



NAMAS is proud to offer the following webinars in September

Ransomware Detection, Prevention & Correction (Compliance Webinar Series)

Speaker: Rachel Roase
September 19, 2017
2pm EST

How to Audit Modifiers (How to Webinar Series)

Speaker: Aimee Wilcox
September 21, 2017
2pm EST

Hands On: Auditing Modifiers (Hands On Webinar Series)

Speaker: Pam Vanderbilt
September 26, 2017
2pm EST

If you are interested in registering for any of the above webinars, please email us at namas@namas.co

NAMAS Calendar of Events



Click the image above to view our monthly calendar



Our weekly auditing & compliance tip emails are available to anyone who could benefit from this information

Information.

If you know someone who would like to receive these emails, invite them to sign.

Click the image above to be added to our email list!

Follow NAMAS on Social Media!



NAMAS is a division of DoctorsManagement, LLC

D O C T O R S[®]
M A N A G E M E N T
Leave the business of medicine to us